



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/722,049	11/27/2000	John Andrew Leonard	57761.000124	4434

7590 12/24/2003

Thomas M. Blasey, Esq.  
Hunton & Williams  
Suite 1200  
1900 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20006

EXAMINER

KLINGER, SCOTT M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2153

DATE MAILED: 12/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/722,049

Applicant(s)

LEONARD, JOHN ANDREW

Examiner

Scott M. Klinger

Art Unit

2153

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 November 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3. 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 2153

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-19 are pending.

#### ***Priority***

No claim for foreign priority has been made. The effective filing date for the subject matter defined in the pending claims in the application is 27 November 2000.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The term "ASIC II controller" (on page 12, line 11, and on page 13, line 6) is not mentioned in the specification and has no meaning in the art.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 6, 9, 13, 15, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation, "the main processor" on page 12, lines 12-13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claims 6 and 13 contain the trademark/trade name AC/DC2000. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe a digital adjustable speed drive and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Claim 9 recites the limitation, "the main processor" on page 13, lines 7-8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 15 recites the limitation, "the dual port memory" on page 13, line 20. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 19 recites the limitations, "the dual port memory", and "the setpoints" on page 13, line 20. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

*Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 8, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GFK-0728A (Programmable Controller IC697BEM763/764, 1 November 1995, hereinafter "GFK-0728A") in view of Kerr et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,105,119, hereinafter "Kerr").

GFK-0728A discloses an interface module that interfaces between drive systems and local area network (LAN) protocols. GFK-0728A shows an interface between a drive and a LAN (Figure 2, on page 3, shows a labeled drawing of the module). Although GFK-0728A shows substantial features of the claimed invention, GFK-0728A is silent as to the specific circuitry of the interface module. Nonetheless the circuitry for interfacing a drive to a LAN is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by GFK-0728A as evidenced by Kerr.

In analogous art, Kerr discloses data transfer circuitry that could be used to implement the system of GFK-0728A. In referring to claims 1, 8, and 15, Kerr shows,

- A dual port memory connected to a bus (column 3, lines 39-45, "Generally, and in one form of the present invention, an integrated circuit includes a dual-port memory having a first memory port and a second memory port, a bus interface block including bus master

Art Unit: 2153

and bus slave circuitry, and a byte-channeling block coupled between the first memory port and the bus interface block operable to convert non-aligned data into aligned data.”)

- An ASIC (column 3, lines 49-53, “The digital signal processor with an ASIC wrapper of this invention together provide super-bus-mastering to access the entire memory space in the system, including the entire virtual memory space accessible by the host processor.”)
- An interrupt line (column 5, lines 51-53, “FIG. 28A is an electrical circuit diagram of interrupt-related registers and interrupt lines to the PCI bus and to the DSP, used in process, device and system embodiments”)
- Control registers (column 6, lines 17-21, “FIG. 38 is a DSP memory space diagram supplementing FIG. 36--right and showing DSP program, data and I/O spaces, including on-chip and off-chip memories and registers utilized in embodiments of processes, devices and systems herein”)

Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of using the data transfer circuitry of Kerr to implement the system of GFK-0728A, in order to permit drives to be controlled from application programs.

Claims 3-5 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GFK-0728A in view of Kerr in further view of GEI-100216 (Drive Control / LAN Communications Board DS200LDCC, May 1997, hereinafter “GEI-100216”).

In referring to claims 3-6 and 10-13, although GFK-0728A in view of Kerr shows substantial features of the claimed invention, GFK-0728A in view of Kerr does not explicitly show a stab terminal, a LNPL connector, a 2PL connector or an AC/DC2000 drive. Nonetheless these

Art Unit: 2153

features are well known in the art and would have been obvious modifications to the system disclosed by GFK-0728A in view of Kerr as evidenced by GEI-100216.

In analogous art, GEI-100216 discloses a drive control board:

In referring to claims 3 and 10, GEI-100216 shows

- A stab terminal for a ground connection (page 3, column 2, “The LDCC includes a stab connection, COM1 (see Figure1) for use in high noise environments when the common reference to the LAN interface boards needs stiffening.”)

Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the interface card of GFK-0728A in view of Kerr so as to include a stab connector for ground, such as taught by GEI-100216, in order to adequately ground the drive.

In referring to claims 4 and 11, GEI-100216 shows,

- A LNPL connector for connecting the interface card with the main control card of the drive (page 13, table 13, shows a “Connector LNPL, Ribbon Cable Connection to Genius/CPL Bus Interface Board”)

Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the interface card of GFK-0728A in view of Kerr so as to, include a LNPL connector for data, such as taught by GEI-100216, in order to communicate

Art Unit: 2153

with the main control card of the drive by utilizing the pre-existing connectors on the drive (i.e. without using an adapter).

In referring to claims 5 and 12, GEI-100216 shows,

- A 2PL connector for transmitting power to the interface card (page 8, table 4, shows a “Connector 2PL, Power Cable Connection to Signal-Level Power Supply Board”)

Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the interface card of GFK-0728A in view of Kerr so as to, include a 2PL connector, such as taught by GEI-100216, in order to power the interface card.

Claims 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GFK-0728A in view of Kerr and in further view of GEH-6380 (Installation Guidance for Innovation Series Drive Systems, 30 June 1999, hereinafter “GEH-6380”).

In referring to claims 7 and 14, although GFK-0728A in view of Kerr shows substantial features of the claimed invention, GFK-0728A in view of Kerr does not explicitly show the LAN is an ISBus LAN. Nonetheless this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by GFK-0728A in view of Kerr as evidenced by GEH-638.

In analogous art, GEH-638 discloses a module that connects a drive to an ISBus LAN. GEH-638 shows the LAN is and ISBus LAN, (Figure 8-1 on page 8-2 shows, “Examples of Innovation Series Drive System Configuration Using ISBus”)



Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the interface card of GFK-0728A in view of Kerr so as to connect to an ISBus LAN, such as taught by GEH-638, in order to provide “tight synchronous coupling between different devices in the system” (page 8-1, column 2).

Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GFK-0728A in view of Kerr in view of Dye et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,523,102, hereinafter “Dye”).

In referring to claims 16-19, although GFK-0728A in view of Kerr shows substantial features of the claimed invention, GFK-0728A in view of Kerr does not explicitly show the steps of performing a page swap in memory after updating the feedbacks, storing the transmitted setpoints in an external RAM inactive page, and performing an external RAM page swap. Nonetheless these steps are well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification to the system disclosed by GFK-0728A in view of Kerr as evidenced by Dye.

In analogous art, Dye discloses prior art memory systems. Dye shows:

- Using active and inactive pages of memory to store data, and swapping said memory to and from an active page to an inactive page (column 2, lines 61-67 “An active page may be defined as an area or page of memory, typically 4096 bytes, which is actively used by the CPU during application execution. Active pages reside between or within system memory or CPU cache memory. An inactive page may be defined as an area or page of memory, typically 4096 bytes, which is not directly accessed by the CPU for application execution. Inactive pages may reside in the system memory, or may be stored locally or on networks on storage media such as disks. The page fault boundary 232 is dynamically

Art Unit: 2153

allocated during run time operation to provide the best performance and operation as defined by many industry standard algorithms such as the LRU/LFU lazy replacement algorithm for page swapping to disk.”)

Given these teachings, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and advantages of modifying the system of GFK-0728A in view of Kerr so as to store memory in inactive and active pages, such as taught by Dye, in order to free memory that is no longer in use, to avoid over-committing the memory.


### *Conclusion*

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Klinger whose telephone number is (703) 305-8285. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Burgess can be reached on (703) 305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-3183. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Scott M. Klinger  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2153

smk  
1 December 2003

  
GLENTON B. BURGESS  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100